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#### Abstract

A total of $\mathbf{4 0 , 0 0 0}$ acres of water resources, including lakes, streams, rivers, sars, springs, etc., are available in Jammu and Kashmir, making them ideal for fish farming. Aquaculture is one of the most economically significant applied strategies and one of the healthiest and most nutritious resources for people. These resources are experiencing a number of difficulties because of improper management and utilisation policy. One of the biggest obstacles to aquaculture is disease, which could eventually limit the commercial success of fish producers. The present study was carried out to evaluate the prevalence of endoparasitic helminths in Schizothorax niger and Cyprinus carpio during different seasons. The isolated parasites comprised Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis, Bothriocephalus acheilognathi, Adenoscolex oreini and Neoechinorhycus manasbalensis. According to the results of the current investigation, parasite occurrences are higher in the Schizothorax species than in the Carp species. A gradual increase in the prevalence rate coincided with a rise in temperature. Additionally, it was discovered that males had more parasite infestation than females. The red blood cell count, packed cell volume, and haemoglobin concentration of the infected fish all indicated a decline. However, infected fish displayed higher white blood cell levels when compared to uninfected fish.
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## INTRODUCTION

India today ranks second only to China in terms of yearly fisheries and aquaculture production, despite the fact that Asia accounts for more than $90 \%$ of global aquaculture production (De Jong, 2017). But in India, as in many other nations around the world, disease is a major impediment to aquaculture and a limiting factor for economic and socio-economic growth (Bagum et al., 2013). Even though parasitic infections are among the most critical issues affecting fish, the wild fish stock is often not very concerned because it doesn't seem like they suffer any noticeable consequences. Many fish parasites feed off the mucus, sloughed epithelial cells on the surface, or have attachment mechanisms that anchor the parasite in place on the skin surface rather than actually invading the tissues. Several variables, such as the host, the environment, the locality, and others, might influence the dispersion of parasites in fish hosts. Around the world, severe parasite illnesses are posing a challenge to the management of fish health and output. Innate defence mechanisms that are present in all teleost fish species prevent or lessen the severity of infection-causing parasite infections (Shah et al., 2015). They reduce fish production yield, lead to Qayoom et al.,
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haematological parameters were also assessed. Haematological technique is a frequent method for assessing the physiological status and health of fish (Fazio, 2019). In accordance with earlier research, various stressors and the length of exposure time have an impact on blood parameters; particularly stress markers (Hoseini et al., 2011; Park et al., 2008). The several species of Schizothorax and Craps were caught alive from the Dal Lake, Anchar, and Jehlum at various study sites were and examined for helminth parasite infestations.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Area. Since the dawn of time, nature has provided the large population of the Kashmir valley with copious water supplies on which it depends in countless ways. At an average altitude of 1583 metres, Dal Lake is a Himalayan urban lake that is situated in the centre of Srinagar (34018/N latitude and 74091/E longitude).The spring Verinag, which is situated in the district of Anantnag at the base of the Panjal mountains, is the source of Jhelum, the principal river of Kashmir. Anchar Lake is a lake in the Srinagar district of Jammu and Kashmir, India, close to the Soura neighbourhood and not far from the city of Srinagar. The lake, which is close to Ganderbal, is connected to the well-known Dal Lake by the "Amir Khan Nallah" waterway. Since the turn of the century, there has been extensive
urbanisation near these water sources, which has resulted in pollution, siltation from deforestation, and overexploitation of the numerous streams and lakes, many of which have shrunk to a small portion of their original size and have greatly degraded in water quality. Sample collection and processing. Fish were procured from Dal, Anchar, and Jehlum on a monthly basis. In total, 120 Schizothorax fish and 120 carp were randomly selected each from Dal Lake, Anchar Lake, and Jehlum Lake. Fish were divided up based on species. The fish were then struck in the head, killing them. Fish were examined externally as soon as they were killed, and then their entire body surfaces underwent a careful examination. Fish were dissected mid-ventrally for internal investigation, and the entire body cavity was checked for helminth parasites. Then, normal saline worms were fixed in Carnoy's fixative, dyed with acetoalum carmine, dehydrated in escalating degrees of ethanol, cleaned in Xylene, and mounted in DPX. Visceral organs such as the alimentary canal, liver, kidney, and gall bladder were extracted and scanned separately. Isolated helminths were categorised using the keys supplied by Manwell (1961); Yamaguti (1959).

## Parasite Examination:

Under mentioned formulae were used for parasite estimation:

| Formula | Reference |
| :---: | :---: |
| Prevalence $=\frac{\text { Infected number of hosts }}{\text { Total no. of hosts examined }} \times 100$ | Bhure et al. (2007) |
| Mean intensity $=\frac{\text { No. of parasites collected }}{\text { Total no. of infected hosts examined }}$ | Gudivada and Vankara <br> $(2010)$ |
| Mean abundance $=\frac{\text { No. of parasites collected }}{\text { Total no. of hosts examined }}$ | Gudivada and Vankara <br> $(2010)$ |
| Index of Infection $=\frac{\text { No. of hosts infected } \times \text { No. of parasites collected }}{\text { Total no. of hosts examined }}$ | Dandawate (2020) |

Estimation of haematological parameters: Each time, fish were given a $75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ solution of clove oil as anaesthesia prior to blood collection. Every time, 2005001 of blood were drawn and stored in sanitised Eppendorf tubes, which were then utilised for all of the subsequent haematological examinations. Utilizing the cyanmethaemoglobin technique, the haemoglobin content was calculated. At 590 nm , the level of colour development was visible. Using a hemocytometer, RBC and WBC were estimated (Karunasagar et al., 1991) employing Neubaur's chamber, followed by the purpose of counting all the numbers below 40 X objective. Packed cell volume (PCV) was calculated using the Wintrobe's tube method (Ramnik, 1994).

## RESULTS

Level of infestation by parasites: The prevalence, intensity, abundance and index of infestation of isolated helminth i.e., Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis, Bothriocephalus acheilognathi, Adenoscolex oreini and Neoechinorhycus manasbalensis are provided in Table 1-4 respectively. Fig. 1-4 also provide the graphical

Table 1: Total prevalence of Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis.

| Host | Number of fishes examined | Infected number of fishes | Prevalence percentage | Number of parasites | Mean intensity | Abundance | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dal Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | 38 | 8 | 21.05 | 14 | 1.75 | 0.37 | 2.95 |
| C. carpio | 41 | 6 | 14.63 | 12 | 2.00 | 0.29 | 1.76 |
| Jehlum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | 35 | 9 | 25.71 | 18 | 2.00 | 0.51 | 4.63 |
| C. carpio | 39 | 7 | 17.95 | 13 | 1.86 | 0.33 | 2.33 |
| Anchar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | 40 | 10 | 25.00 | 16 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 4.00 |
| C. carpio | 43 | 8 | 18.60 | 12 | 1.5 | 0.28 | 2.23 |



Fig. 1. Prevalence of Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis in water bodies.
Table 2: Total prevalence of Bothriocephalus acheilognathi.

| Host | Number of fishes examined | Infected number of fishes | Prevalence percentage | Number of parasites | Mean intensity | Abundance | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dal Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | 37 | 10 | 27.02 | 16 | 1.6 | 0.43 | 4.32 |
| C. carpio | 39 | 7 | 17.95 | 12 | 1.71 | 0.31 | 2.15 |
| Jehlum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | 40 | 11 | 27.5 | 19 | 1.73 | 0.47 | 5.22 |
| C. carpio | 41 | 8 | 19.51 | 14 | 1.75 | 0.34 | 2.73 |
| Anchar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | 36 | 12 | 33.33 | 19 | 1.58 | 0.53 | 6.33 |
| C. carpio | 39 | 9 | 23.08 | 16 | 1.78 | 0.41 | 3.69 |



Fig. 2. Prevalence of Bothriocephalus acheilognathi in water bodies.

Table 3: Total prevalence of Adenoscolex oreini.

| Host | Number of fishes examined | Infected number of fishes | Prevalence percentage | Number of parasites | Mean intensity | Abundance | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dal Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | 42 | 13 | 30.95 | 16 | 1.23 | 0.38 | 4.95 |
| C. carpio | 39 | 9 | 23.08 | 14 | 1.55 | 0.36 | 3.23 |
| Jehlum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | 41 | 14 | 34.15 | 19 | 1.36 | 0.46 | 6.49 |
| C. carpio | 43 | 10 | 23.25 | 14 | 1.4 | 0.32 | 3.25 |
| Anchar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | 37 | 15 | 40.54 | 20 | 1.33 | 0.54 | 8.11 |
| C. carpio | 38 | 10 | 26.31 | 13 | 1.3 | 0.34 | 3.42 |



Fig. 3. Prevalence of Adenoscolex oreini in water bodies.
Table 4: Total prevalence of Neoechinorhycus manasbalensis.

| Host | Number of fishes examined | Infected number of fishes | Prevalence percentage | Number of parasites | Mean intensity | Abundance | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dal Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | 38 | 10 | 26.31 | 16 | 1.6 | 0.42 | 4.21 |
| C. carpio | 41 | 8 | 19.51 | 11 | 1.37 | 0.27 | 2.15 |
| Jehlum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | 39 | 12 | 30.77 | 17 | 1.42 | 0.43 | 5.23 |
| C. carpio | 40 | 7 | 17.5 | 12 | 1.71 | 0.3 | 2.15 |
| Anchar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | 40 | 14 | 35 | 19 | 1.36 | 0.47 | 6.65 |
| C. carpio | 43 | 9 | 20.93 | 13 | 1.44 | 0.30 | 2.72 |



Fig. 4. Prevalence of Neoechinorhycus manasbalensis in water bodies.

Prevalence of helminths gender wise: The prevalence of Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis, Bothriocephalus acheilognathi, Adenoscolex oreini, and Neoechinorhycus manasbalensis was found elevated in
males as compared to females as depicted in Table 9-12 respectively.

Table 5: Season wise prevalence of Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis.

| Water body | Fish species | Spring |  |  |  | Summer |  |  |  | Autumn |  |  |  | Winter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | P | MI | A | I | P | MI | A | I | P | MI | A | I | P | MI | A | I |
|  | S. niger | 36.36 | 1.5 | 0.54 | 2.18 | 22.22 | 1.5 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 10.00 | 3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 12.5 | 2 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} C . \\ \text { carpio } \end{gathered}$ | 30 | 1.67 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 18.18 | 2 | 0.36 | 0.73 | 9.09 | 3 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | S. niger | 40 | 1.75 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 33.33 | 2 | 0.67 | 2 | 12.5 | 3 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 12.5 | 2 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { C. } \\ \text { carpio } \end{gathered}$ | 27.27 | 1.67 | 0.45 | 1.36 | 22.22 | 2 | 0.44 | 0.89 | 10 | 2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 11.11 | 2 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
|  | S. niger | 40 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 25 | 1.67 | 0.42 | 1.25 | 22.22 | 1.5 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 11.11 | 2 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
|  | C. carpio | 27.27 | 2 | 0.54 | 1.64 | 20 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 16.67 | 1 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 10 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

Table 6: Season wise prevalence of Bothriocephalus acheilognathi.

| Water body | Fish species | Spring |  |  |  | Summer |  |  |  | Autumn |  |  |  | Winter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | P | MI | A | I | P | MI | A | I | P | MI | A | I | P | MI | A | I |
|  | S. niger | 40 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 33.33 | 1.67 | 0.55 | 1.67 | 25 | 1.5 | 0.37 | 0.75 | 10 | 2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
|  | C. carpio | 33.33 | 1.67 | 0.55 | 1.67 | 20 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 11.11 | 2 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 9.09 | 2 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
|  | S. niger | 50 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 3 | 25 | 1.67 | 0.42 | 1.25 | 20 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 9.09 | 3 | 0.27 | 0.27 |
|  | C. carpio | 33.33 | 1.67 | 0.55 | 1.67 | 18.18 | 2 | 0.36 | 0.73 | 20 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 9.09 | 2 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
|  | S. niger | 50 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 44.44 | 1.25 | 0.55 | 2.22 | 22.22 | 2 | 0.44 | 0.89 | 12.5 | 3 | 0.37 | 0.37 |
|  | C. carpio | 33.33 | 2 | 0.67 | 2 | 30 | 1.67 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 22.22 | 2 | 0.44 | 0.89 | 9.09 | 1 | 0.09 | 0.09 |

Table 7: Season wise prevalence of Adenoscolex oreini.

| Water body | Fish species | Spring |  |  |  | Summer |  |  |  | Autumn |  |  |  | Winter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | P | MI | A | I | P | MI | A | I | P | MI | A | I | P | MI | A | I |
|  | S. niger | 50 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 3 | 33.33 | 1.25 | 0.42 | 1.67 | 18.18 | 1.5 | 0.27 | 0.14 | 22.22 | 1 | 0.22 | 0.44 |
|  | C. carpio | 33.33 | 2.33 | 0.77 | 2.33 | 27.27 | 1 | 0.27 | 0.82 | 22.22 | 1.5 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 10 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  | S. niger | 54.54 | 1.17 | 0.64 | 3.82 | 44.44 | 1.25 | 0.55 | 2.22 | 30 | 1.33 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 9.09 | 3 | 0.27 | 0.27 |
|  | C. carpio | 41.67 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 30 | 1.33 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 10 | 3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 9.09 | 1 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
|  | S. niger | 75 | 1.17 | 0.87 | 5.25 | 40 | 1.25 | 0.5 | 2 | 30 | 1.67 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 22.22 | 1.5 | 0.33 | 0.67 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} C . \\ \text { carpio } \end{gathered}$ | 40 | 1.25 | 0.5 | 2 | 37.5 | 1 | 0.37 | 1.12 | 18.18 | 1.5 | 0.27 | 0.54 | 11.11 | 2 | 0.22 | 0.22 |

Table 8: Season wise prevalence of Neoechinorhycus manasbalensis.

| Water body | Fish species | Spring |  |  |  | Summer |  |  |  | Autumn |  |  |  | Winter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | P | MI | A | I | P | MI | A | I | P | MI | A | I | P | MI | A | I |
|  | S. niger | 50 | 1.75 | 0.87 | 3.5 | 27.27 | 1.67 | 0.45 | 1.36 | 20 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 11.11 | 1 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
|  | C. carpio | 30 | 1.33 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 22.22 | 1.5 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 9.09 | 2 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 18.18 | 1 | 0.18 | 0.36 |
|  | S. niger | 50 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 3 | 27.27 | 1.67 | 0.45 | 1.36 | 22.22 | 2 | 0.44 | 0.89 | 22.22 | 1 | 0.22 | 0.44 |
|  | C. carpio | 23.33 | 1.67 | 0.55 | 1.67 | 16.67 | 2 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 10 | 2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 11.11 | 1 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { H. } \\ & \text { تِ } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ | S. niger | 45.45 | 1.4 | 0.64 | 3.18 | 40 | 1.25 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 30 | 1.33 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 22.22 | 1.5 | 0.33 | 0.67 |
|  | C. carpio | 40 | 1.25 | 0.5 | 2 | 15.38 | 1.5 | 0.23 | 0.46 | 22.22 | 1.5 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 9.09 | 2 | 0.18 | 0.18 |

Table 9: Gender wise prevalence of Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis

| Host | Gender | Number of fishes examined | Infected number of fishes | Prevalence percentage | Number of parasites | Mean intensity | Abundance | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dal Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | Male | 21 | 5 | 23.81 | 9 | 1.8 | 0.43 | 2.14 |
|  | Female | 17 | 3 | 17.65 | 5 | 1.67 | 0.29 | 0.88 |
| C. carpio | Male | 23 | 4 | 17.39 | 9 | 2.25 | 0.39 | 1.56 |
|  | Female | 18 | 2 | 11.11 | 3 | 1.5 | 0.17 | 0.33 |
| Jehlum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | Male | 19 | 5 | 26.31 | 11 | 2.2 | 0.58 | 2.89 |
|  | Female | 16 | 4 | 25 | 7 | 1.75 | 0.44 | 1.75 |
| C. carpio | Male | 22 | 4 | 18.18 | 9 | 2.25 | 0.41 | 1.75 |
|  | Female | 17 | 3 | 17.65 | 4 | 1.33 | 0.23 | 0.70 |
| Anchar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | Male | 22 | 7 | 31.82 | 13 | 1.86 | 0.59 | 4.14 |
|  | Female | 18 | 3 | 16.67 | 5 | 1.67 | 0.28 | 0.83 |
| C. carpio | Male | 24 | 5 | 20.83 | 8 | 1.6 | 0.33 | 1.67 |
|  | Female | 19 | 3 | 15.79 | 4 | 1.33 | 0.21 | 0.63 |

Table 10: Gender wise prevalence of Bothriocephalus acheilognathi.

| Host | Gender | Number of fishes examined | Infected number of fishes | Prevalence percentage | Number of parasites | Mean intensity | Abundance | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dal Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | Male | 21 | 6 | 28.57 | 11 | 1.83 | 0.52 | 3.14 |
|  | Female | 16 | 4 | 25 | 5 | 1.25 | 0.31 | 1.25 |
| C. carpio | Male | 21 | 5 | 23.81 | 9 | 1.8 | 0.43 | 2.14 |
|  | Female | 18 | 2 | 11.11 | 3 | 1.5 | 0.17 | 0.33 |
| Jehlum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | Male | 23 | 7 | 30.43 | 14 | 2 | 0.61 | 4.26 |
|  | Female | 17 | 4 | 23.53 | 5 | 1.25 | 0.29 | 1.18 |
| C. carpio | Male | 24 | 5 | 20.83 | 9 | 1.8 | 0.37 | 1.87 |
|  | Female | 17 | 3 | 17.65 | 5 | 1.67 | 0.29 | 0.88 |
| Anchar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | Male | 20 | 8 | 40 | 13 | 1.62 | 0.65 | 5.2 |
|  | Female | 16 | 4 | 25 | 6 | 1.5 | 0.37 | 1.5 |
| C. carpio | Male | 21 | 6 | 28.57 | 11 | 1.83 | 0.52 | 3.14 |
|  | Female | 18 | 3 | 16.67 | 5 | 1.67 | 0.28 | 0.83 |

## Table 11: Gender wise prevalence of Adenoscolex oreini.

| Host | Gender | Number of fishes examined | Infected number of fishes | Prevalence percentage | Number of parasites | Mean intensity | Abundance | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dal Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | Male | 24 | 8 | 33.33 | 11 | 1.37 | 0.46 | 3.67 |
|  | Female | 18 | 5 | 27.78 | 5 | 1 | 0.28 | 1.39 |
| C. carpio | Male | 23 | 6 | 26.08 | 10 | 1.67 | 0.43 | 2.61 |
|  | Female | 16 | 3 | 18.75 | 4 | 1.33 | 0.25 | 0.75 |
| Jehlum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | Male | 23 | 8 | 34.78 | 13 | 1.62 | 0.56 | 4.52 |
|  | Female | 18 | 6 | 33.33 | 6 | 1 | 0.33 | 2 |
| C. carpio | Male | 25 | 6 | 24 | 9 | 1.5 | 0.36 | 2.16 |
|  | Female | 18 | 4 | 22.22 | 5 | 1.25 | 0.27 | 1.11 |
| Anchar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | Male | 21 | 9 | 42.84 | 13 | 1.44 | 0.62 | 5.57 |
|  | Female | 16 | 6 | 37.5 | 7 | 1.17 | 0.44 | 2.62 |
| C. carpio | Male | 21 | 6 | 28.57 | 8 | 1.33 | 0.38 | 2.28 |
|  | Female | 17 | 4 | 23.53 | 5 | 1.25 | 0.29 | 1.17 |

Table 12: Gender wise prevalence of Neoechinorhycus manasbalensis.

| Host | Gender | Number of fishes examined | Infected number of fishes | Prevalence percentage | Number of parasites | Mean intensity | Abundance | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dal Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | Male | 21 | 7 | 33.33 | 12 | 1.71 | 0.57 | 4 |
|  | Female | 17 | 3 | 17.65 | 4 | 1.33 | 0.23 | 0.70 |
| C. carpio | Male | 23 | 5 | 21.74 | 7 | 1.4 | 0.30 | 1.52 |
|  | Female | 18 | 3 | 16.67 | 4 | 1.33 | 0.22 | 0.67 |
| Jehlum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | Male | 22 | 7 | 31.82 | 11 | 1.57 | 0.5 | 3.5 |
|  | Female | 17 | 5 | 29.41 | 6 | 1.2 | 0.35 | 1.76 |
| C. carpio | Male | 23 | 4 | 17.39 | 8 | 2 | 0.35 | 1.39 |
|  | Female | 17 | 3 | 17.65 | 4 | 1.33 | 0.23 | 0.70 |
| Anchar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. niger | Male | 22 | 9 | 40.91 | 13 | 1.44 | 0.59 | 5.32 |
|  | Female | 18 | 5 | 27.79 | 6 | 1.2 | 0.33 | 1.67 |
| C. carpio | Male | 24 | 6 | 25 | 9 | 1.5 | 0.37 | 2.25 |
|  | Female | 19 | 3 | 15.79 | 4 | 1.33 | 0.21 | 0.63 |

Impact of helminths on blood components: The important blood parameters of infected and normal fishes are represented by Table 13. No standard trend was observed in haemoglobulin as it decreased in some while increased in others. Fish infested with Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis, Bothriocephalus acheilognathi, Adenoscolex oreini and

Neoechinorhycus manasbalensis were recorded to show decreased haemoglobulin levels than the control. The fishes infested with these helminths showed decreased RBC and PVC levels than the fishes of control. WBC concentration was found be higher in parasite infected fishes than in normal ones.

Table 13: Blood parameters in helminth infested fishes.

| Parameter | Control | Infested fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis | Bothriocephalus acheilognathi | Adenoscolex oreini | Neoechinorhycus manasbalensis |
| Hb (g\%) | $9.54 \pm 1.93$ | $9.06 \pm 2.79$ | $8.94 \pm 3.28$ | $9.42 \pm 1.28$ | $9.13 \pm 1.95$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { RBC } \\ \left(\times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $2.64 \pm 1.82$ | $2.37 \pm 2.35$ | $2.14 \pm 1.29$ | $1.94 \pm 3.52$ | $2.37 \pm 1.68$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { WBC } \\ \left(\mathbf{x} 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $32.59 \pm 2.76$ | $43.93 \pm 3.18$ | $46.20 \pm 1.64$ | $39.84 \pm 2.37$ | $41.1 \pm 2.61$ |
| PCV (\%) | $33.29 \pm 2.29$ | $32.44 \pm 1.63$ | $31.71 \pm 1.15$ | $33.05 \pm 2.58$ | $32.57 \pm 0.16$ |

## DISCUSSION

Parasitic prevalence was found to be more in Anchar than Dal Lake and Jehlum. This could be as a result of declining water quality in anchar, which is mostly to blame for stress-inducing fish species. Fish are more vulnerable to parasite diseases under these stressful conditions (Hudha et al., 2021). Infection patterns of endoparasitic hehminths were greatly influenced by fish species, season, gender and water body. The prevalence of helminths was found more in males than in females. Seasonal changes, pathogen interactions, and parasites have an impact on fish physiology and immunity (Qayoom and Jaies 2019). In a previous study, Takemoto and Pavanelli (2000) found that male hosts had considerably more parasite intensity than female hosts. Susceptibility to illnesses may change depending on their gender, which may be due to genetic predisposition and hormonal regulation. Similarly, Qayoom and Shah (2017) from their study reported that Pomphorhyncus kashmerensis (Acanthocephalan) showed highest incidence in males of Schizothorax plagiostomous (pr. $=74.07 \%$ ) followed by males of Schizothorax niger (pr. $=66.67 \%$ ) and females of Schizothorax niger (64.29\%). Similary Qayoom et al. (2015) while studying parasite prevalence reported
higher abundance of Pomphorhyncus (pr. 27\%, M. I. $3.91 \%$, R. D. $0.27 \%$ and Ind. $56.97 \%$ ) followed by Neoechinorhyncus $(\operatorname{Pr} .=24 \%$, M.I. $=2.77 \%$ R.D. $=$ $0.66 \%$ and Ind. $=31.92 \%$ ) and Adenoscolex (Pr. $=$ $15.5 \%$, M.I. $=3.00 \%$, R.D. $=0.465 \%$ and Ind. $=$ $14.41 \%$ ) respectively.
Blood is a useful biomarker of an organism's health and is used as one of the haematological indicators to assess the health state of fish (Joshi et al., 2002). The haematological parameters of the examined infected and uninfected fish samples varied significantly during the course of the investigation. The decrease in haemoglobin, RBC count and packed cell volume in the infested fishes could be attributed to the anaemia resulting from the parasitic infestation (Martins et al., 2004). The first line of defence against an infection is an increase in WBC levels. WBCs stimulate the immune system and haemopoietic tissues during parasitic infestation, creating antibodies and other chemicals that act as defences against infection (Lebelo et al., 2001).

## CONCLUSION

Fish health and output management are becoming more difficult as a result of severe parasitic infections. Infections with fish parasites are a serious problem
because they typically lead to immune system degradation, which makes hosts more susceptible to subsequent infection by pathogens. In the current investigation, Anchar was shown to have a higher prevalence of parasites. Fish species, the time of year, gender, and water body all have a significant impact on hehminth infection patterns. Additionally, males had a higher prevalence of helminths than females did.
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